

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
-v-  
ANEUDY ALVARADO,  
Defendant.

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #: \_\_\_\_\_  
DATE FILED: 4/23/2024

GREGORY H. WOODS, United States District Judge:

On April 22, 2024, counsel for the United States filed a signed protective order on the docket of this case. Dkt. No. 314. Counsel for the United States coded the docket entry as a motion for a protective order, but the proposed order is not accompanied by a letter motion or other application from the United States. The Court declines to take action with respect to the proposed protective order at this time. The proposed order begins with a recital that refers to a predicate application by the United States (“Upon the application of the United States of America . . .”). While coding a proposed order as a motion on the ECF system may be expedient, it is not a substitute for a signed letter or other application to the Court requesting that the Court take action and stating the reasons for the request.<sup>1</sup>

The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motion pending at Dkt. No. 314.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 23, 2024  
New York, New York

  
GREGORY H. WOODS  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> Counsel may wish to review the ECF Filing Event list and evaluate whether the code used was appropriate for the document that was filed. See Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions ¶ 13.17 (“If you cannot find the appropriate event for your document do not file it using the wrong event.”).